UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,666	05/03/2006	Naniwada Koji	09792909-6629	4359
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			EXAMINER	
			TAKAOKA, DEAN O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/595,666	KOJI, NANIWADA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DEAN O. TAKAOKA	2817	

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	r sheet with the correspondence address	
THE F	REPLY FILED <u>21 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
— ; 1	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an am application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reperiods:	nendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which pla ee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Re	ces the equest
a) [b) [Extens have b under 3	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final re	2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is THS from the mailing date of the final rejection. BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH an under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension responding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension period for reply originally set in the final Office action;	HIN TWO on fee sion fee or (2) as
may re	duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	and the maining date of the initial rejocator, even if this	ory mod,
f I	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CF filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period IDMENTS	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
3. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the da (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appear	r search (see NOTE below);	s for
(0	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	mber of finally rejected claims.	
6. 🗌	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitten non-allowable claim(s).		
7. 🛛	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be ent how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appear the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 4 and 7. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.5.6 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		on of
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
1	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasor was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ns why the affidavit or other evidence is necessa	iry and
•	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appea entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejec showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not ear	ctions under appeal and/or appellant fails to prov	
REQL	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·	
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place See Continuation Sheet.	•	use:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Pap Other:	per No(s)	
) Takaoka/	
	Primary	Examiner, Art Unit 2817	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is the postion of the Examiner that the prior art of Frey et al. continues to anticipate claims 1, 3, 5, 6 and 8, thus the claims are not in condition for allowance. Applicant's submit Frey (Figs. 1 or 5) shows the vibrating body as "either one large beam that spans across the electrodes or more than one partial vibrating body, connected by a node (11). The node connects the partial vibrating bodies to each other at the center of the excitation electrodes in order to minimize charge flow between the partial bodies. Frey et al., Col. 3, lines 6-19. Frey et al. does not, however teach or even fairly suggest at least two beams that are parallel to each other but not physically connected to each other as required by the claims". It appears Applicant's arguments are drawn to two separate independent and non-connected beams however it is the position of the Examiner that this is not commensurate with that which is being claimed. As by example, claim 1 merely claims "said beam structure includes at least two vibrating electrode beams that are parallel to each other and cross ove a portion of the substrate". Clearly Frey shows two parallel beams mutually connected by bar section 11 however the limitations of the claims do not preclude this mutual coupled arrangement, thus it is the position of the Examiner that the claims do not patentably distinguish over the prior art of Frey and the rejections of record are maintained for the claims above. The Examiner acknowledges claims 4 and 7 contain allowable subject matter. Accordingly, the Advisory action is issued where the amendments do not place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues.